Objectives	Rubric			Score
Storyboard / Planning	3 points Storyboard is incomplete. Little effort shown on developing/planning site.	4 points Storyboard is somewhat complete and minimum effort shown on developing/planning site.	5 points Storyboard is complete and well developed showing a great deal of effort in planning. Inspiration software used to develop sitemap.	
Originality	3 points The work is a minimal collection of other people's ideas, images, and inventions. There is little to no new thought.	4 points The work shows evidence of originality and inventiveness. While based on an extensive collection of other people's ideas, images, etc. The work offers new insight.	5 points The work shows significant evidence of originality and inventiveness. The majority of the content and many of the ideas are fresh and based upon sound research.	
Design	3 points The page design is not entirely appropriate to the content or its intended audience	4 points The page design is visually pleasing, although it may not be entirely appropriate to the content or its intended audience	5 points The page design is visually pleasing, supports the page content, and is appropriate for its intended audience	
Images	3 points Several images on the site have problems with either design or mechanical presentation	4 points The images are well designed for the most part, although there may be some minor problems with either design or mechanical presentation	5 points The images are well designed and mechanically effective, without distortion or other negative graphic effects	
Layout	3 points The page layout is usable, but it has problems with clarity or placement of elements.	4 points The sites layout is largely effective, although there may be some occasional problems with clarity or placement of elements	5 points Pages use an effective and uncluttered layout, using background color to enhance the readability of text and the appearance of graphics	
Text	3 points Text on the site has a problem with either style or mechanics or both.	4 points Text on the site is readable and reasonably well written, although there may be minor mechanical errors	5 points Text on the site is well written and presented effectively, using readable fonts and text design and without major mechanical errors (grammar, punctuation, and spelling).	
Evidence of Skills learned	There is little or no evidence of the	4 points There is some evidence of the skills learned in the course (digital photo editing, audio editing, web 2.0 tools, etc.) a minimum number of items is included.	5 points There is a variety of evidence showing the skills learned in the course (digital photo editing, audio editing, web 2.0 tools, etc.) more than the minimum number of items is included.	
Mechanics	3 points The site includes missing pictures or broken links, but is readable by both the major browsers	4 points Everything on the site works: there are no missing graphics or broken links; it can be read with Explorer (PC) and Safari and shows equally well on PC and Mac	5 points Everything on the site works: there are no missing graphics or broken links; it can be read with Firefox, Explorer and Safari and shows equally well on PC and Mac	
Download Time	3 points The site takes to long to download, pushing the limits of a user's patience	4 points The site takes some time to download, although it doesn't entirely exhaust the user's patience	5 points The site download time is reasonable, and doesn't make extreme demands on the user's patience; multimedia elements load quickly	
Credibility	3 points Site leaves user wondering about its origin and sources	4 points Site includes author, contact person with e-mail address, date created or updated, and no copyright infringement	5 points Author's name and e-mail address is present; site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view; material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement; site includes date created or updated	
Total Score				